

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS:ONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Visgusia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,611	02/27/2002	Yoshiaki Hisamune	8020-1002-1	3150
466	7590 09/22/2003			
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
	23RD STREET 2ND FLC N, VA 22202	OOR	BOOTH, RI	CHARD A
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			eme		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/083,611	HISAMUNE ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard A. Booth	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		I		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o		a final naisakian subishasa	an in later. In ma		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
NOTE: the amendment to claim 1 raises new iss	sues requiring further consideration	and/or search.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:		152	1		

Richard A. Booth Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2812